

WHY LOVE IS THE ANSWER (A MEDIUM'S PERSPECTIVE)

Jeffrey Marks
Copyright 2007

Since the sixties we've often been inundated with such catch phrases as "Choose Love" or "All We Need Is Love" and "Love Is The Answer." These have been banner calls in protest to the general behavior of the global political theater as witnessed on the sidelines by the general populace. You don't see these phrases being cheered in the streets of Jerusalem by the Palestinians or Israelites to combat the suicide bombers and other terrorists duking it out for a piece of desert land. History doesn't record it being sung in Ireland when Catholics and Protestants were filling each other full of holes in disagreement over centuries old religious dogma. Likewise, it wasn't a melody in wartime Vietnam, nor propaganda issued across Germany in WWII, or even mentioned during the Gulf War. Today, Al Quaeda's "love" sits on the cuff of geo-religious-politics, rather than human relations. When you're involved in the conflict, and not watching, it becomes practically impossible to sit in the seat of love (and understanding) while being impacted by the deaths of your countrymen and rape of your lifestyle, as we all discovered on September 11. Whenever love turns out to be not the answer, and hate breeds, the inevitable outcome always turns out to be death. When you don't like something, when you hate it with such a passion, your goal is to stamp it out, get rid of it, dispose of it permanently.

That is all an illusion.

Every belief system, aside of atheism, teaches life after death. Even the Muslim terrorists killing people across the world believe that, as do those in the World Coalition killing the terrorists. The only difference is where you end up, in heaven or hell, and that's entirely dependant on which side you're on, and each one claims to be in the right. Since most of the major belief systems are adopted from the old world, and therefore built upon the framework of that time period, they are naturally geo-political devices unto themselves (using such identifiers as "chosen people" and "righteous") in order to support the identity of a group of people in their quest of geo-political foundation, as it was being unfolded in ancient times. That doesn't mean the dogma is correct. Nor True. In the arena of geo-politics, the unrighteous, evil-doers, and sinners must be punished, therefore, it is customary to have a "hell" or "torture chamber" (there were no prisons in the ancient world as we know prisons today) to help place the righteous (always supported by God) upon the platform of Power.

That is all an illusion.

In simple terms, the concept of good and evil is subject to the whims of the ego. Individual ego determines what is right for the individual. When another

individual agrees, and so on and so on, that's when you develop a societal belief system and rules of morality – because the majority agrees, and therefore the majority rules, and thus also becomes the Law (by God, in the ancient world). This is why sometimes one person's good is considered another person's evil (as well as another country's good vs. another country's evil). It's all a point of view, relating back to one's own ego construct, oftentimes developed in childhood by a combination of actual experiences, but also tainted with traditional storytelling, history, and mythmaking. This is why we have the term "childhood ignorance" because we know, instinctively, that our rules and concepts of good and evil are not inborn, they are taught and learned, and such is the way with hatred.

As a medium and historian, I don't believe in a Hell, nor do I believe in an idealized Heaven, but I do believe in an afterlife, where we continue to grow, learn, and become more of what we are. Hell, to me, is a construct created in ancient times to ease the minds of a certain group of people in regards to the ultimate destiny of their enemies. It started all the way back in ancient Egypt, with the weighing of the heart in the Hall of Judgment in the Underworld, and has developed since then. Anyone doing any real homework in history can see how these ideas were grown and developed by the practicing cultures, and not "inspired" by an otherworldly deity or entity. At any rate, I have yet to meet anyone who's passed to the Other Side and been burned and tortured in an afterlife hell.

The body is gone. It cannot be tortured.

So what do those people in the afterlife do when they have a disagreement? Does hate become a viable option? When you suddenly learn that you, the individual consciousness, separate from the body, does not die, how do you think that would change your level of fear? Your impetus for action against those you disagree with? What about your enemy's point of view?

The point of all this is simple: Who are we all killing? Once one truly realizes that death is not real, the energy and effort of hatred becomes useless, because you cannot destroy or eliminate that which you have built such a hateful disagreement against (namely, the other person). At that point there is only one option: Love. That is not to say, you always have to agree with someone, but as everything in the universe is a manifestation of that Total Creative Force, the All That Is, then naturally you are going to have a wide variety of viewpoints, some of which don't agree with the ego *you've created for yourself*. But, as your thumb is not the sum of your entire body, your ego and its concepts of what is right and what is wrong is not the sum total of morality for the universe. It is *individual*. Once one realizes that, that it is individual, but also a part of the total Created Universe, neither good nor bad is right or wrong, it is just a point of view. What is bad is usually seen as something that can hurt you, pain you physically and emotionally, and ultimately, kill you. Once you divorce this physical life as your true existence, and realize it is only *a part of your whole existence*, and that you do not die, what is considered bad cannot destroy you, as you cannot die. What is bad cannot make you feel bad, unless you choose to make it that way. Since

death is non-existent, no one can horde power of you, using the fear of death as deterrent to rebellion.

Why is love the answer? Because hate, in the grand scheme of life and spirituality, produces nothing. It doesn't stop another individual. It doesn't kill them. It doesn't change their mind. The only option is To Love. This is why you never see a white newborn baby discriminate against a black. This is why you don't see a three year old child of a Jew discriminate against a three year old child of a Palestinian. From where they came, such energy and way of being is pointless. When one returns to that same place after death, again it becomes pointless. Unfortunately, by that time, the joy and bliss of life here on earth will have been lost. And life, looking back on it, will have been a lie.

Hate which led to war and death

. . . It was an illusion. Love is the only answer. When you can no longer kill from hatred, the only viable option is to love.

Death only works if there is no Afterlife. As a medium, I know better. Those who go to a church, synagogue, or mosque, should also know better. Atheists, since they don't believe in a life after death, or at least a god, are more prone to work things out, as life and relationships (we're all in this together) are things truly to be cherished.

Hate. What a waste.

www.SpiritualExploration.com